arXiv:1402.6803v1 [math.AG] 27 Feb 2014

K3 SURFACES WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER 66, THE MAXIMUM POSSIBLE

JONGHAE KEUM

ABSTRACT. In each characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$, it was shown in a previous work that the order of an automorphism of a K3 surface is bounded by 66, if finite. Here, it is shown that in each characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$ a K3 surface with a cyclic action of order 66 is unique up to isomorphism. The equation of the unique surface is given explicitly in the tame case ($p \nmid 66$) and in the wild case ($p = 11$).

An automorphism of finite order is called *tame* if its order is prime to the characteristic, and *wild* otherwise. Let X be a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$. An automorphism g of X is called *symplectic* if it preserves a non-zero regular 2-form ω_X, and *purely non-symplectic* if no power of g is symplectic except the identity. An automorphism of order a power of p in characteristic $p > 0$ is symplectic, as there is no p-th root of unity.

Over $\mathbb{C}$, Kondō [7] gave an example of a complex K3 surface with an automorphism of order 66:

$$X_{66} : y^2 + x^3 + t^{12} - t = 0, \tag{0.1}$$

$$g_{66}(t, x, y) = (\zeta_{66}^6 t,\ \zeta_{66}^2 x,\ \zeta_{66}^3 y) \tag{0.2}$$

where ζ_{66} is a primitive 66th root of unity. The K3 surface X_{66} is defined over the integers and both the surface and the automorphism have a good reduction mod p unless p divides 66.

In each characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$, it was shown in [5] that the order of any automorphism of a K3 surface is bounded by 66, if finite. In this paper we characterize K3 surfaces admitting a cyclic action of order 66.

For an automorphism g, tame or wild, of order mn of a K3 surface X, we write

$$\mathrm{ord}(g) = m.n$$

if the homomorphism $\langle g \rangle \to \mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, \Omega_X^2))$ has kernel of order m.

By [5] (Lemma 4.2 and 4.4) a tame automorphism g of order 66 is purely non-symplectic, i.e., $\mathrm{ord}(g) = 1.66$.

The first result deals the tame case.

Date: Feb 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J28, 14J50, 14J27.

Research supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2007-0093858).

Theorem 0.1. *Let k be the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers or an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$, $p \neq 11$. Let X be a K3 surface defined over k with an automorphism g of order 66. Then*

$$(X, \langle g \rangle) \cong (X_{66}, \langle g_{66} \rangle),$$

i.e. there is an isomorphism $f : X \to X_{66}$ such that $f\langle g \rangle f^{-1} = \langle g_{66} \rangle$.

Over $k = \mathbb{C}$, Theorem 0.1 was proved by Machida and Oguiso [8], under the assumption that the automorphism is purely non-symplectic. Our proof is characteristic free and does not use the tools in the complex case such as transcendental lattice and the holomorphic Lefschetz formula.

The surface X_{66} is a weighted Delsarte surface. Using the algorithm for determining the Artin invariant of such a surface whose minimal resolution is a K3 surface ([11], [3]), one can show that in characteristic $p \equiv -1 \pmod{66}$ the surface X_{66} is a supersingular K3 surface with Artin invariant 1.

In characteristic $p = 11$, there is an example of a K3 surface with a wild automorphism of order 66 ([2], [5]):

$$Y_{66} : y^2 + x^3 + t^{11} - t = 0, \tag{0.3}$$

$$h_{66}(t, x, y) = (t + 1,\ \zeta_6^2 x,\ \zeta_6^3 y) \tag{0.4}$$

where $\zeta_6 \in k$ is a primitive 6th root of unity. The surface Y_{66} is a supersingular K3 surface with Artin invariant 1 in characteristic $p = 11 \pmod{12}$.

Our second result is the following.

Theorem 0.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 11$. Let X be a K3 surface defined over k with an automorphism g of order 66. Then* $\mathrm{ord}(g) = 11.6$ *and*

$$(X, \langle g \rangle) \cong (Y_{66}, \langle h_{66} \rangle),$$

i.e. there is an isomorphism $f : X \to Y_{66}$ such that $f\langle g \rangle f^{-1} = \langle h_{66} \rangle$.

Remark 0.3. In characteristic $p = 2$, 3, there is an example of a K3 surface with an automorphism of order 66, as was noticed by Matthias Schütt:

$p = 2$

$$X : y^2 - y = x^3 + t^{11} - 1, \tag{0.5}$$

$$g(t, x, y) = (\zeta_{33}^3 t,\ \zeta_{33}^{11} x,\ y + 1) \tag{0.6}$$

$p = 3$

$$X : y^2 = x^3 - x + t^{11} - 1, \tag{0.7}$$

$$g(t, x, y) = (\zeta_{22}^2 t,\ x + 1,\ \zeta_{22}^{11} y) \tag{0.8}$$

where ζ_{22} and $\zeta_{33} \in k$ are primitive 22nd and 33rd roots of unity, respectively. In characteristic 2 and 3, it seems that 66 is the maximum finite order and is realized only by the above surface up to isomorphism.

Notation

For an automorphism g of a K3 surface X, we use the following notation:

- $X^g = \mathrm{Fix}(g)$: the fixed locus of g
- $e(g) := e(\mathrm{Fix}(g))$, the Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$;
- $\mathrm{Tr}(g^*|H^*(X)) := \sum_{j=0}^{2\dim X}(-1)^j \mathrm{Tr}(g^*|H^j_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l))$.
- $[g^*] = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{22}]$: the eigenvalues of $g^*|H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)$
- ζ_a : a primitive a-th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$
- $\zeta_a : \phi(a)$: all primitive a-th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ where ϕ is the Euler function and $\phi(a)$ the number of conjugates of ζ_a
- $[\lambda.r] \subset [g^*]$: λ repeats r times in $[g^*]$.
- $[(\zeta_a : \phi(a)).r] \subset [g^*]$: the list $\zeta_a : \phi(a)$ repeats r times in $[g^*]$.

1. Preliminaries

The following basic results can be found in the previous paper [5].

Proposition 1.1. (*Proposition 2.1* [5]) *Let g be an automorphism of a projective variety X over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let l be a prime $\neq p$. Then the following hold true.*

1. (*3.7.3* [4]) *The characteristic polynomial of $g^*|H^j_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)$ has integer coefficients for each j. The characteristic polynomial does not depend on the choice of cohomology, l-adic or crystalline. In particular, if a primitive m-th root of unity appears with multiplicity r as an eigenvalue of $g^*|H^j_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)$, then so does each of its conjugates.*
2. *If g is of finite order, then g has an invariant ample divisor, and $g^*|H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)$ has 1 as an eigenvalue.*
3. *If X is a K3 surface, g is tame and $g^*|H^0(X,\Omega^2_X)$ has $\zeta_n \in k$ as an eigenvalue, then $g^*|H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)$ has $\zeta_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ as an eigenvalue.*

Proposition 1.2. (*Topological Lefschetz formula, cf.* [1] *Theorem 3.2*) *Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and let g be a tame automorphism of X. Then $X^g = \mathrm{Fix}(g)$ is smooth and*

$$e(g) := e(X^g) = \mathrm{Tr}(g^*|H^*(X)).$$

Lemma 1.3. (*Lemma 1.6* [6]) *Let X be a K3 surface in characteristic $p \neq 2$, admitting an automorphism h of order 2 with $\dim H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_l)^h = 2$. Then h is non-symplectic and has an h-invariant elliptic fibration $\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$,*

$$X/\langle h\rangle \cong \mathbf{F}_e$$

a rational ruled surface, and X^h is either a curve of genus 9 which is a 4-section of ψ or the union of a section and a curve of genus 10 which is a 3-section. In the first case $e = 0, 1$ or 2, and in the second $e = 4$. Each

singular fibre of ψ is of type I_1 (nodal), I_2, II (cuspidal) or III, and is intersected by X^h at the node and two smooth points if of type I_1, at the two singular points if of type I_2, at the cusp with multiplicity 3 and a smooth point if of type II, at the singular point tangentially to both components if of type III. If X^h contains a section, then each singular fibre is of type I_1 or II.

Remark 1.4. If $e \neq 0$, the h-invariant elliptic fibration ψ is the pull-back of the unique ruling of $\mathbf{F}_e$. If $e = 0$, either ruling of $\mathbf{F}_0$ lifts to an h-invariant elliptic fibration.

Lemma 1.5. (*Lemma 2.10* [5]) *Let S be a set and $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ be the group of bijections of S. For any $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ and positive integers a and b,*

(1) $\mathrm{Fix}(g) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(g^a)$*;*
(2) $\mathrm{Fix}(g^a) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(g^b) = \mathrm{Fix}(g^d)$ *where* $d = \gcd(a, b)$*;*
(3) $\mathrm{Fix}(g) = \mathrm{Fix}(g^a)$ *if* $\mathrm{ord}(g)$ *is finite and prime to* a*.*

Lemma 1.6. (*Lemma 2.11* [5]) *Let $R(n)$ be the sum of all primitive n-th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ where $(l, n) = 1$. Then*

$$R(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad n \,\text{has a square factor,} \\ (-1)^t & \text{if} \quad n \,\text{is a product of } t \text{ distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$

2. Invariant elliptic fibration

The following two lemmas will play a key role in our proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let g be an automorphism of order 66 of a K3 surface X in characteristic $p \neq 2, 3, 11$. If the eigenvalues of g^* on the second cohomology is given by*

$$[g^*] = [1, \zeta_{66} : 20, 1],$$

then

(1) *there is a g-invariant elliptic fibration $\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$ with 12 cuspidal fibres, say F_0, $F_{t_1}, \ldots, F_{t_{11}}$;*
(2) $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ *consists of a section R of ψ and a curve C_{10} of genus 10 which is a 3-section passing through each cusp with multiplicity 3;*
(3) *the action of g on the base $\mathbf{P}^1$ is of order 11, fixes 2 points, say ∞ and 0, and makes the 11 points $t_1, \ldots, t_{11}$ form a single orbit, where F_∞ is a smooth fibre;*
(4)
$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11}) = R \cup \{\text{the cusps of the 12 cuspidal fibres}\};$$
(5) $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ *consists of the 3 points,*
$$R \cap F_\infty,\ R \cap F_0,\ C_{10} \cap F_0.$$

Proof. Note that $[g^{33*}] = [1, -1.20, 1]$. Thus, we can apply Lemma 1.3 to $h = g^{33}$. We compute $e(g) = 3$ and

$$[g^{11*}] = [1, (\zeta_6 : 2).10, 1],\ \ e(g^{11}) = 14.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^d) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$$

for any d dividing 33. If $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ is a curve C_9 of genus 9, then g^{11} acts on C_9 with 14 fixed points, too many for an order 3 automorphism on a curve of genus 9. Thus

$$X/\langle g^{33}\rangle \cong \mathbf{F}_4,$$

there is a g^{33}-invariant elliptic fibration

$$\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$$

and $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ consists of a section R of ψ and a curve C_{10} of genus 10 which is a 3-section. The automorphism $\bar{g}$ of $\mathbf{F}_4$ induced by g preserves the unique ruling, so g preserves the elliptic fibration. Since $\bar{g}^{33}$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{F}_4$, g^{33} acts trivially on the base $\mathbf{P}^1$, and hence the orbit of a fibre under the action of $g|\mathbf{P}^1$ has length 1, 3, 11 or 33 . By Lemma 1.3 a fibre of ψ is of type I_0 (smooth), I_1, I_2, II or III. Claim that ψ has no fibre of type I_2 or III. If a fibre F is of type III, then its orbit under $g|\mathbf{P}^1$ has length 1 or 3, then g^3 preserves F, hence g^6 preserves both components of F and, together with an invariant ample class, preserves 3 linearly independent classes, hence $[g^{6*}] \supset [1, 1, 1]$, impossible. If a fibre F is of type I_2, then its orbit under $g|\mathbf{P}^1$ has length 1, 3 or 11, then g^6 or g^{22} would have 3 linearly independent invariant classes, again impossible. Next, claim that there is an orbit of singular fibres of length 11. Otherwise, all orbits of singular fibres would have length 1 or 3, then g^3 would preserve all fibres, hence fix the curve R and induces on a general smooth fibre an automorphism of order 22. But in any characteristic no elliptic curve admits an automorphism of such high order that fixes a point. If there are two orbits of length 11 of singular fibres of type I_1, then g^{11} would preserve all fibres, hence fix R and the singular points of singular fibres, then $e(g^{11}) > 14$. Thus there is one orbit of length 11 of singular fibres of type II. If g preserves two fibres of type I_1, then the same argument as above would yield $e(g^{11}) > 14$. Thus g preserves one fibre of type II and a smooth fibre. This proves (1), (2) and (3).

The statement (4) follows from (3) and the fact that $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11})$ has Euler number 14 and is contained in $R \cup C_{10}$.

To see (5), take R as the 0-section of ψ. Then on each smooth fibre F, g^{11} induces an order 6 automorphism, fixing the point $F \cap R$ and rotating the three 2-torsions $C_{10} \cap F$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let g be an automorphism of order 66 of a K3 surface X in characteristic $p = 11$. If*

$$[g^*] = [1, \zeta_{66} : 20, 1],$$

then

(1) *there is a g-invariant elliptic fibration $\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$ with 12 cuspidal fibres, say F_∞, $F_{t_1}, \ldots, F_{t_{11}}$;*

(2) $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ *consists of a section* R *of* ψ *and a curve* C_{10} *of genus* 10 *which is a* 3*-section passing through each cusp with multiplicity* 3*;*
(3) *the action of* g *on the base* $\mathbf{P}^1$ *is of order* 11*, fixes* 1 *point, say* ∞*, and makes the* 11 *points* $t_1, \dots, t_{11}$ *form a single orbit;*
(4)

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11}) = R \cup \{\text{the cusps of the 12 cuspidal fibres}\};$$

(5) $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ *consists of the* 2 *points,*

$$R \cap F_\infty,\ C_{10} \cap F_\infty.$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1, with a modification, will work here. Note first that the automorphisms g^{33}, g^{11} are tame in characteristic $p = 11$, so the Lefschetz fixed point formula holds for them and the argument using their fixed loci is valid.

We compute $[g^{33*}] = [1, -1.20, 1]$ and apply Lemma 1.3 to $h = g^{33}$. We also compute

$$[g^{11*}] = [1, (\zeta_6 : 2).10, 1],\ \ e(g^{11}) = 14.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^d) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$$

for any d dividing 33. If $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ is a curve C_9 of genus 9, then g^{11} acts on C_9 with 14 fixed points, too many for an order 3 automorphism on a curve of genus 9. Thus

$$X/\langle g^{33} \rangle \cong \mathbf{F}_4,$$

there is a g^{33}-invariant elliptic fibration

$$\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$$

and $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33})$ consists of a section R of ψ and a curve C_{10} of genus 10 which is a 3-section. The automorphism $\bar{g}$ of $\mathbf{F}_4$ induced by g preserves the unique ruling, so g preserves the elliptic fibration. Note that g^{33} acts trivially on the base $\mathbf{P}^1$. By Lemma 1.3 a fibre of ψ is of type I_0 (smooth), I_1, I_2, II or III. By the same argument as in Lemma 2.1, ψ has no fibre of type I_2 or III and there is an orbit of singular fibres of length 11. If there are two orbits of length 11 of singular fibres of type I_1, then g^{11} would preserve all fibres, hence fix R and the singular points of singular fibres, then $e(g^{11}) > 14$. Thus there is one orbit of length 11 of singular fibres of type II. If g preserves two fibres of type I_1, then the same argument as above would yield $e(g^{11}) > 14$. Thus g preserves one fibre of type II. Since $g|\mathbf{P}^1$ is of order 11 and an wild automorphism on $\mathbf{P}^1$ fixes only one point, we see that g preserves no other fibre. This proves (1), (2) and (3).

The statement (4) follows from (3). Since g^{11} is tame, $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11})$ has Euler number 14 and is contained in $R \cup C_{10}$.

To see (5), note that $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ is contained not only in the cuspidal fibre F_∞ but also in $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33}) = C_{10} \cup R$. □

3. the Tame Case

Throughout this section, we assume that the characteristic $p > 0$, $p \nmid 66$, and g is an automorphism of order 66 of a K3 surface. By [5] Lemma 4.2 and 4.4, g is purely non-symplectic, i.e. $\mathrm{ord}(g) = 1.66$.

Lemma 3.1. *The eigenvalues of g^* on the second cohomology is given by*

$$[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, 1].$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 the action of g^* on $H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has $\zeta_{66} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ as an eigenvalue. Thus $[\zeta_{66} : 20] \subset [g^*]$. Suppose that

$$[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, -1].$$

Then

$$[g^{33*}] = [1,\, -1 : 20,\, -1], \quad e(g^{33}) = -18.$$

Since the g^{33*}-invariant subspace of $H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has dimension 1, we see that

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{33}) = C_{10},$$

a smooth curve of genus 10, and the quotient surface

$$X/\langle g^{33} \rangle \cong \mathbf{P}^2.$$

The image $C'_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is a smooth sextic curve. Since $e(g^{11}) = 12$ and

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11}) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(g^{33}) = C_{10},$$

we see that $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11})$ consists of 12 points. On the other hand, g^{22} has

$$[g^{22*}] = [1,\, (\zeta_3 : 2).10,\, 1], \quad e(g^{22}) = -6.$$

Since the g^{*22}-invariant subspace of $H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has dimension 2, $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22})$ consists of a curve C of genus > 1, at most one $\mathbf{P}^1$ and some isolated points. If $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22})$ contains a $\mathbf{P}^1$, then the action of g on $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22})$ preserves C and the $\mathbf{P}^1$, so the g^*-invariant subspace of $H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has dimension at least 2, a contradiction. Here we use the fact that the Chern class map

$$c_1 : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to H^2_{\mathrm{crys}}(X/W)$$

is injective and the fact that the characteristic polynomial of g^* does not depends on the choice of cohomology. Thus $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22})$ contains no $\mathbf{P}^1$ and

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}) = C_{k+4} \cup \{\, 2k \text{ points} \,\}$$

for a smooth curve C_{k+4} of genus $k + 4$. Note that

$$C_{k+4} \cap C_{10} \subset \mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(g^{33}) = \mathrm{Fix}(g^{11}),$$

thus the intersection number

$$C_{k+4}.C_{10} \leq 12.$$

Then the Hodge Index Theorem gives

$$(C^2_{k+4})(C^2_{10}) = 18(2k + 6) \leq (C_{k+4}.C_{10})^2 \leq 12^2,$$

thus $k \leq 1$.

Suppose that $k = 0$ and $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}) = C_4$. Since C_4^2/C_{10}^2 is not a square of a rational number, the two curves C_4 and C_{10} are linearly independent in $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, giving two linearly independent g^*-invariant vectors of $H^2_{\mathrm{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $k = 1$ and $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}) = C_5 \cup \{\, 2 \text{ points} \,\}$. Since $(C_5^2)(C_{10}^2) = 144$, we have the equality in the Hodge Index Theorem and

$$C_5.C_{10} = 12.$$

Since $g^{33}|C_5 = g^{11}|C_5$, the action of g^{33} on C_5 has 12 fixed points, hence the image

$$C_5' \subset X/\langle g^{33} \rangle \cong \mathbf{P}^2$$

has genus 0. Since $C_5'.C_{10}' = 12$, C_5' must be a smooth conic. Consider the automorphism $\bar{g}^{11}$ of $X/\langle g^{33} \rangle$ induced by g^{11}. It has order 3 and its fixed locus $\mathrm{Fix}(\bar{g}^{11}) \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is the image of the locus

$$\mathrm{Fix}(g^{11}) \cup \mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}) = \mathrm{Fix}(g^{22}),$$

hence $\mathrm{Fix}(\bar{g}^{11})$ consists of the conic C_5' and the point which is the image of the two points in $\mathrm{Fix}(g^{22})$. But the fixed locus of any order 3 automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^2$ is either 3 isolated points or the union of a point and a line, a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 0.1.

By Lemma 3.1, $[g^*] = [1, \zeta_{66} : 20, 1]$. We can apply Lemma 2.1, and will use the elliptic structure and the notation. Let

$$y^2 + x^3 + A(t_0, t_1)x + B(t_0, t_1) = 0$$

be the Weierstrass equation of the g-invariant elliptic pencil, where A (resp. B) is a binary form of degree 8 (resp. 12). By Lemma 2.1, g leaves invariant the section R and the action of g on the base of the fibration $\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is of order 11. After a linear change of the coordinates (t_0, t_1) we may assume that g acts on the base by

$$g : (t_0, t_1) \mapsto (t_0, \zeta_{11} t_1)$$

for some primitive 11th root of unity ζ_{11}. We know that g preserves one cuspidal fibre F_0 and makes the remaining 11 cuspidal fibres form one orbit. Thus the discriminant polynomial

$$\Delta = -4A^3 - 27B^2 = ct_1^2(t_1^{11} - t_0^{11})^2 \tag{3.1}$$

for some constant $c \in k$, as it must have one double root (corresponding to the fibres F_0) and one orbit of double roots. From the equality (3.1), it is easy to see that A is not a non-zero constant. If $\deg(A) > 0$, then the zeros of A correspond to either cuspidal fibres (which may contain a singular point of X, i.e. yield a reducible fibre) or nonsingular fibres with "complex multiplication" of order 6. This set has cardinality at most 8, but invariant

with respect to the order 11 action of $g|\mathbf{P}^1$, impossible. Thus $A = 0$. Then the above Weierstrass equation can be written in the form

$$y^2 + x^3 + at_1(t_1^{11} - t_0^{11}) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for some constant a. A suitable linear change of variables makes $a = 1$ without changing the action of g on the base. Thus

$$X \cong X_{66}$$

as an elliptic surface. Let

$$t = t_1/t_0.$$

Choose a primitive 66th root of unity ζ_{66} such that

$$g^*\Big(\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}\Big) = \zeta_{66}^5 \frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}, \quad g^{11*}\Big(\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}\Big) = \zeta_{66}^{55} \frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since g^{11} is of order 6, acts trivially on the base and fixes the section R, it is a complex multiplication of order 6 on a general fibre, so

$$g^{11}(x, y, t) = (\zeta_{66}^{22}x, -y, t).$$

Here, the other primitive 3rd root of unity ζ_{66}^{44} cannot appear as the coefficient of x by (3.3). We will analyse the local action of g at the fixed point $(x, y, t) = (0, 0, 0)$, the cusp of F_0. We first determine the linear terms of g, then infer that the higher degree terms must vanish. Write the linear terms of g as follows:

$$g(x, y, t) = (\zeta_{66}^a x, \zeta_{66}^b y, \zeta_{66}^c t).$$

Since the Weierstarass equation (3.2) is invariant under g, we have the following system of congruence modulo 66:

$$3a \equiv 2b \equiv 12c \equiv c$$

$$11a \equiv 22$$

$$11b \equiv 33.$$

The solutions are

$$a \equiv 2 + 6a'$$

$$b \equiv 3 + 9a' \ (a' \text{ even}) \text{ or } 36 + 9a' \ (a' \text{ odd})$$

$$c \equiv 6 + 18a'$$

for some integer a'. On the other hand, by (3.3)

$$5 \equiv a + c - b \pmod{66}.$$

This congruence equation is satisfied by the solution $a \equiv 2$, $b \equiv 3$, $c \equiv 6$, but by no other solution among the above solutions. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the tame case.

4. the Complex Case

We may assume that X is projective, since a non-projective complex K3 surface cannot admit a non-symplectic automorphism of finite order (see [12], [9]) and its automorphisms of finite order are symplectic, hence of order ≤ 8. Now the same proof goes, once $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is replaced by $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

5. in characteristic $p = 11$

Throughout this section, we assume that the characteristic $p = 11$ and g is an automorphism of order 66 of a K3 surface. By [2] we know that $\text{ord}(g) = 11.6$.

Lemma 5.1. $\text{ord}(g) = 11.6$.

Proof. Any automorphism of order p in characteristic p is symplectic, as there is no p-th root of unity. Thus the symplectic order of g must be a multiple of 11. In characteristic 11 it is known [2] that 11 is the maximum possible among all orders of symplectic automorphisms of finite order. □

Lemma 5.2. *The eigenvalues of g^* on the second cohomology is given by*

$$[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, 1].$$

Proof. In characteristic $p = 11$ it was proved in [2] Proposition 4.2 that the representation on $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ of a finite group of symplectic automorphisms is Mathieu. It follows that the order 11 automorphism g^6 has

$$[g^{6*}] = [1,\, (\zeta_{11} : 10).2,\, 1].$$

There is a g-invariant ample divisor class, so 1 appears in $[g^*]$. Since the representation of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is faithful ([10] Corollary 2.5, [5] Theorem 1.4), $g^*|H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has order 66 and we infer that $[g^*]$ is one of the following 3 cases:

$$[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, \pm 1], \quad [1,\, \zeta_{33} : 20,\, -1].$$

On the other hand, g^{11} is tame and non-symplectic of order 6, hence $\zeta_6 \in [g^{11*}]$ by Proposition 1.1. This excludes the last case.

Suppose that $[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, -1]$. This case can be ruled out by the same proof as in Lemma 3.1. Indeed, the automorphisms g^{33}, g^{22}, g^{11} are tame in characteristic $p = 11$, so the Lefschetz fixed point formula holds for them and the argument using their fixed loci is valid. □

Proof of Theorem 0.2.

The first statement follows from Lemma 5.1. It remains to prove the second. By Lemma 5.2, $[g^*] = [1,\, \zeta_{66} : 20,\, 1]$. We can apply Lemma 2.2 and will use the elliptic structure and the notation. Let

$$y^2 + x^3 + A(t_0, t_1)x + B(t_0, t_1) = 0$$

be the Weierstrass equation of the g-invariant elliptic pencil, where A (resp. B) is a binary form of degree 8 (resp. 12). By Lemma 2.2, g leaves invariant the section R and the action of g on the base of the fibration $\psi : X \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is of order 11. Any wild automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^1$ is uni-potent, so after a linear change of the coordinates (t_0, t_1) we may assume that g acts on the base by

$$g : (t_0, t_1) \mapsto (t_0, t_1 + t_0).$$

Then g preserves the cuspidal fibre F_∞ and makes the remaining 11 cuspidal fibres form one orbit. Thus the discriminant polynomial

$$\Delta = -4A^3 - 27B^2 = ct_0^2(t_1^{11} - t_0^{10}t_1)^2$$

for some constant $c \in k$, as it must have one double root (corresponding to the fibres F_∞) and one orbit of double roots. The zeros of A correspond to either cuspidal fibres (which may contain a singular point of X) or non-singular fibres with "complex multiplication" of order 6. Since this set is invariant with respect to the order 11 action of $g|\mathbf{P}^1$, we see that the only possibility is $A = 0$. Then the above Weierstrass equation can be written in the form

$$y^2 + x^3 + at_0(t_1^{11} - t_0^{10}t_1) = 0$$

for some constant a. A suitable linear change of variables makes $a = 1$ without changing the action of g on the base. Thus

$$X \cong Y_{66}$$

as an elliptic surface. Let

$$t = t_1/t_0.$$

Since g has non-symplectic order 6, one can choose a primitive 6th root of unity ζ_6 such that

$$g^*\Big(\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}\Big) = \zeta_6^{-1}\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}, \quad g^{11*}\Big(\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}\Big) = \zeta_6\frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}.$$

Since g^{11} is of order 6, acts trivially on the base and fixes the section R, it is a complex multiplication of order 6 on a general fibre, so

$$g^{11}(x, y, t) = (\zeta_6^4 x, \zeta_6^3 y, t).$$

We know that $g(t) = t + 1$, so infer that

$$g(x, y, t) = (\zeta_6^2 x, \zeta_6^3 y, t + 1).$$

References

[1] P. Deligne, G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 1, 103–161.

[2] I. Dolgachev, J. Keum, *K3 surfaces with a symplectic automorphism of order* 11, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **11** (2009), 799-818

[3] Y. Goto, *The Artin invariant of supersingular weighted Delsarte surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **36** (1996), 359–363.

[4] L. Illusie, *Report on crystalline cohomology*, in "Algebraic Geometry, Arcata 1974", Proc. Symp. Pure math. vol. 29 , AMS, pp. 459–478

[5] J. Keum, *Orders of automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, arXiv:1203.5616 [math.AG]

[6] J. Keum, *K3 surfaces with an order* 60 *automorphism and a characterization of supersingular K3 surfaces with Artin invariant 1*, arXiv:1204.1711 [math.AG], to appear in Math. Res. Letters
[7] S. Kondō, *Automorphisms of algebraic K3 surfaces which act trivially on Picard groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (1992), 75–98
[8] N. Machida, K. Oguiso, *On K3 surfaces admitting finite non-symplectic group actions*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **5** (1998), 273–297
[9] V. V. Nikulin, *Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian surfaces of type K3*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), no. 2; Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **38** (1980), 71-135
[10] A. Ogus, *Supersingular K3 crystals*, in "Journées de Géometrie Algébrique de Rennes", Asterisque, Vol. **64** (1979), pp. 3–86
[11] T. Shioda, *An explicit algorithm for computing the Picard number of certain algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), 415–432
[12] K. Ueno, *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **439** (1975)

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea

E-mail address: jhkeum@kias.re.kr